



Broiler Production: Considerations for Potential Growers

Damona Doye

Regents Professor and Extension Economist

Brian Freking

Extension Educator—Agriculture, LeFlore County

Josh Payne

Area Animal Waste Management Specialist

Shannon Ferrell

Assistant Professor, Agricultural Law

In 2008, broiler production was the second largest agricultural revenue generator in Oklahoma, only trailing income from cattle and calves. Broiler receipts have grown dramatically in the past 15 years to \$663 million in 2008 compared to \$240 million in 1993.

Contract broiler production is concentrated in the eastern tier of Oklahoma counties. The success of contract broiler production in eastern Oklahoma is directly related to the success of poultry companies (integrators) located in Arkansas. Eastern Oklahoma has benefited from the integrators' expansion to capitalize on increased consumer demand for poultry products.

This fact sheet discusses factors that someone evaluating broiler production as an alternative and/or complementary farm enterprise should consider. Factors to consider range from the availability of an integrator to waste management and environmental considerations.

Availability of an Integrator

Commercial broiler production tends to be concentrated in a relatively small radius around an integrator's feed mill and other facilities. Because the costs of building a hatchery, feed mill, and processing facilities normally exceeds \$100 million, integrators will not likely build facilities in new areas unless a dramatic growth in demand for their product is expected. If integrators choose to expand, the profitability of both the grower and the integrator favors established growing areas. The poultry company will, if possible, operate multiple shifts and maximize use of their existing plant capacity. Since the integrator's facilities tend to be centrally located, an expansion of the grower territory means higher transportation costs for the delivery of chicks, feed, and for hauling broilers from the grower to the processing facilities.

Contract Production

Nearly all broilers grown in Oklahoma are produced with some type of contract between an integrator and the grower. The poultry company furnishes chicks and feed, supervises growth of the broilers through a field service representative,

Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Fact Sheets
are also available on our website at:
<http://osufacts.okstate.edu>

and may also provide a fuel allowance during winter months and an allowance for facility upgrades. The grower provides the land, broiler house, equipment, labor, and normal operating expenditures. The grower agrees to use the processor's chicks and feed and return a product (e.g. broilers) that meets certain standards.

The producer is paid per pound of usable broilers produced. An additional payment incentive is usually included in the agreement between the integrator and the grower. The incentive payment may be based on feed conversion and/or cost of production. Often, the grower's compensation is adjusted based on a comparative ranking among growers in the same area, using the same set of processor supplied inputs, during the same period of production. For an individual, the variation in production compared to average performance will be a result of the grower's facilities and management. Thus, the grower accepts that this management and production risk will affect revenues through variations in yields as well as the base price per the Net Pound Value formula agreed to in the contract:

$$\text{Net Pound Value} = \text{Cost of Production} \div \text{Pounds of Live Bird Delivered to the Processing Plant}$$

where

$$\text{Cost of Production} = \text{Cost of Birds} + \text{Cost of Feed}$$

The cost of the birds equals the number of chicks delivered times the price of each chick as stipulated in the contract, and the cost of the feed equals pounds of feed delivered times the cost of the feed as stipulated in the contract.

In current Oklahoma contracts, a \$0.0001 decrease in the Net Pound Value (cost per pound) below the average for the flocks delivered during the same week by all other growers will result in a higher price per pound (likewise, an increase in the Net Pound Value above other growers will result in a lower price). Thus, the integrator transfers the entire reward for efficiency (or inefficiency) to the pool of growers. This is an incentive for producers to maximize the pounds delivered to the integrator. This enables the integrator to maximize their asset turnover ratio and maintain a rate of return on assets that invites investment. Note, however, that a producer with superior management skills relative to the population of broiler producers may not benefit from a relatively low Net Pound Value if he/she markets birds in the same week as other superior managers.

Before agreeing to produce broilers under contract, the grower should thoroughly examine the contract and be familiar with its terms. Contracts are for the protection of both the producer and the integrator and should clearly spell out all important details. These details should include terms of grower payments, production practice requirements, incentive clauses, production items to be furnished by each party and those to be jointly furnished, and upgrade requirements. A potential grower should be aware of the details and responsibilities while considering such events as a tornado destroying a broiler house, an integrator deciding to reduce broiler production, rapid increases in energy costs or declines in poultry demand. Firm written answers to any question about the integrator's role or expectations should be obtained prior to signing.

A well written contract that is understood by both parties is an essential beginning for successful long-term broiler production. These contracts are almost always written by legal counsel for the integrator, and thus the grower must review the contract for any items that are unclear or that require modification. Given the significant potential investment by the grower, engaging an attorney for a complete analysis of the contract may be prudent. Further, growers should spend some time exploring "what if" scenarios to see what their options under the contract will be if problems are encountered. Growers should be wary of simply thinking "everything will be OK" – rather, they should evaluate a wide range of operating scenarios and their potential outcomes.

Most, if not all grower contracts, contain a clause that limits the contract to the terms included in the written document; in other words, this clause means that agreements reached through oral discussions (and in some cases, even agreements documented in writing) will not be held legally enforceable if they are not made a part of the "master" contract document. Thus, as growers review their contracts and discuss clarifications or modifications with the integrator, they must remember to memorialize the results of these discussions in writings that are signed by both the grower and an authorized representative of the integrator. These additional writings should also be recognized in the master contract document.

While every aspect of the contract is important and should be carefully scrutinized, a handful of areas should receive even more attention, as they have the greatest potential to affect the cash flows of the operation through adjusting the risks of contract production. These areas are discussed in the paragraphs that follow.

Duration / termination of the agreement: Since entering a contract may require significant investments in structures, equipment, and other assets, a primary concern is whether the contract will last long enough to allow the grower some assurance that they will be able to recover the costs of those investments. Some contracts are on a flock-to-flock basis, meaning that each contract lasts only while the current flock is placed with the grower and then can be terminated. While these arrangements provide a great deal of flexibility for the integrator and grower, they do not provide the assurance for continued revenue to support the grower's investment. Other contracts are defined by a specific time period, with some lasting up to ten or fifteen years. These contracts provide more stability for the grower, but may also be difficult for the grower to terminate should they desire to do so.

While it is important to understand the duration of a contract, it is equally important to understand how the contract can be terminated. Growers must recognize that in many contracts, the integrator will have more discretion to terminate the agreement than the grower will. Thus, growers should evaluate the circumstances that can trigger automatic termination of the agreement, as well as those that would permit the integrator and/or the grower to terminate. Is there a way to objectively determine when these circumstances have occurred, or is it left to the discretion of one of the parties? In many contracts, the party seeking termination may have to provide written notice of their intent to terminate and must give the other party an opportunity to "cure" the problem leading to the termination. How much notice (if any) must the integrator provide the grower, and vice versa? If the termination occurs in the middle of a flock's grow-out, will the grower be paid for the time and resources invested in that flock to date?

Facilities and equipment: As shown in the budget that follows, the cost associated with facilities and equipment is one of the largest factors in the overall expense of the broiler operation. The grower should determine whether the type and configuration of the facilities and equipment required are standard for the industry. This is important should the contract under consideration be terminated for some reason. If the specified facilities and equipment can be used under other integrators' contracts, this reduces the risk that the grower's investment will be "stranded" – otherwise, a grower could be left still making payments on these investments without any revenue to support them.

Production issues: Broiler production contracts frequently contain highly detailed production practices and procedures, and the grower must understand the implications these provisions have for both their broiler operations and the rest of their farm. For example, many contracts contain provisions prohibiting keeping any other fowl (and in some cases, any other animals) on the farm while an integrator's flock is placed there. This can constructively prohibit growing flocks for multiple integrators at any one time and may prohibit any other animal enterprises altogether.

In some cases, the integrator may have a production practices manual or some other set of procedures. Growers should examine these practices carefully (and if there is not a manual or other documentation of the integrator's required practices, how will these practices be established?). What are the consequences if these procedures are not followed, and who judges whether they are indeed being followed? Who is responsible for securing feed and medications, and who bears the risk if those materials do not perform adequately?

Another critical element of production is the placement and collection of the flock. Does the contract guarantee a minimum occupancy for the facility in terms of birds per flock and/or the timing of flock placements? Does the contract require the use of certified scales for weigh-in and weigh-out, and who will supervise those operations? When the flock is collected, will there be standards for the condemnation of unhealthy or underperforming birds, and will the grower be provided with an explanation of condemnations in their settlement sheet?

Yet another important aspect of the production contract is its handling of production risks, especially death loss. Many contracts contain a clause dealing with "Acts of God" (this may also be called a *force majeure* clause). Growers must understand how an "Act of God" is defined and how risk for such events is

allocated between the grower and integrator. For example, who bears the risk of loss if, despite proper maintenance and care, there is a failure in the ventilation, heating, cooling, watering, or other systems? What if there is a significant weather event such as a heat wave, flood, or tornado?

Payment terms: Perhaps the most complex element of the production contract is the discussion of payments for the grower. The industry uses many different tools to compensate growers, and growers must work diligently to both understand how these tools work and to properly incorporate these calculations in their budget analysis. First, the grower should understand the basic payment mechanism for grow-out, which will often be based on a "settlement sheet" when the flock is collected and weighed (although payment may come sometime after this). What is the payment per pound or per bird? Next, many agreements include incentive payments for reaching targets for certain measures such as death loss, feed efficiency, etc. If this is the case, what must be done to receive these payments, and when will they be received? Additionally, many contracts include a payment based on a "tournament" system in which growers are placed into groups; the growers' performance is compared to the rest of the group and adjustments to grower payments are made based on their performance relative to the group. If this is the case, the grower should evaluate how much of their compensation is based on factors that they can control, and how much is based on factors that they cannot control.

Advantages and Disadvantages of Contract Production

A well written contract that is understood by both parties is an essential beginning for successful long-term broiler production.

Advantages and disadvantages of contract production can be evaluated from several different perspectives: the producer (contract grower), the producer's lender, and the integrator (contractor). Among the advantages for the producer are that market price risk (for chickens and feed) is reduced, management assistance is provided, and a predictable cash flow can be estimated based on average management. Possible disadvantages for the producer include the elimination of extra profit opportunities, sharing or giving up some control of management decisions, and no equity in the birds. When an integrator's profit margins are being eroded, legitimate concerns may include: 1. will the company continue to supply birds? 2. how many birds will be supplied per flock?, and 3. how many flocks will be supplied per year? These are especially important concerns while payments are still required on the broiler house. Other possible disadvantages for the producer include assuming sole responsibility for waste management and environmental practices that are subject to state and federal regulations.

The grower's lender may perceive as positive the decrease in market risk and management assistance. The negative aspects of contract broiler production from the lender's perspective include the lack of equity in livestock and the dependence on contract continuation for loan repayment and potentially, a lack of knowledge and experience in poultry production.

From the integrator's viewpoint, contract production provides security with respect to production capacity, reduces

risks such as a disease outbreak, allows fast expansion of the company, requires less capital for growth (reduced land, building or equipment investments), and may make growers more productive since company representatives provide management assistance and high quality birds and feed. It allows the company to maximize the use of plants and mills (thus reducing overhead costs per unit of production) by keeping all phases of the operation running at full capacity. The disadvantages for the integrator may include taking all the short-term risk of low market prices and growers who may or may not be highly productive. Integrators are also being pressured to assume increasing responsibility for waste management, environmental, animal welfare and biosecurity issues.

Financing for Broiler Buildings and Equipment

Lenders prefer to make broiler facility loans to a diversified farm operator who has been successful in other enterprises. Such an operator has other income to rely on in the event an integrator decides to reduce the number of flocks per year or does not renew the grower's contract. A reliable source of farm or off-farm income may be necessary to assure a lender of the ability to repay the broiler facility loan, as the returns from broiler production may not be sufficient in the early years to cover both the family living expenses and debt retirement.

The cost of a broiler house will vary with size and specifications. An estimate for fully equipped houses currently being constructed is approximately \$13 per square foot. This figure does not include the cost of the land and may vary with different building designs, equipment, and location with reference to water and roads. Unless integrators agree to help resell buildings and equipment, broiler facilities may have little value as collateral since their use is so specific.

Building and Equipment Requirements

Each integrator will have specific building design, equipment specifications, and location requirements. Buildings generally run east and west, are built on a level pad above ground level, have a smooth level area at the end of the building for a mechanical loader, and must be accessed by a well graveled roadway with turnaround for large tractors and trailers. Houses must be sufficiently insulated to prevent heat loss in winter and minimize heat buildup in summer. They must also have sufficient ventilation (natural and mechanical) for cooling the birds in summer.

Multiple house operations are preferred so that feed and chick delivery costs and broiler transportation costs can be minimized. Integrators attempt to fill all broiler houses with chicks of the same age so the integrator can deliver one kind of feed, make a minimum number of deliveries or pickups, and once again minimize transportation costs.

Approximately two-thirds to four-fifths of a square foot of floor space per chick is required depending on the type of bird (finishing weight). Most buildings currently being built are 40 or more feet in width with sufficient length to give the desired broiler capacity. A 20,000 square foot house will handle approximately 26,400 broilers. It is not uncommon for an integrator to overfill a building with chicks to allow for

normal mortality. Additionally, an integrator may place more birds per square foot in the winter months as compared to the summer months, due to bird heat production.

The integrator will provide information on how many feeders, water founts/nipples, brooders, misters, fans, and lights will be required per building. Specific recommendations may be made on equipment brands and types of brooders (natural gas, LP gas, electric).

Labor and Management Requirements

The success of a broiler grower will depend to a great extent upon how well an integrator's management program is carried out by the grower. Management of the broiler house is the responsibility of the producer with the assistance of the field service representative provided by the integrator. Some contracts include details on management related to feed, water, house temperature, vaccination, and disease control. The service person may assist the grower on decisions not specifically covered in the contract, such as ventilation, litter management, rodent and fly control, and dead bird disposal.

Broilers need daily attention, and new producers may need to work closely with their field service representative to develop an appropriate care schedule. It may be appropriate to have several family members familiar with the poultry operation so that they can substitute for the primary caretaker if necessary. Extra labor may be required at different points throughout the production period.

Daily chores for the broiler grower include checking mechanical equipment to insure correct operation, adjusting ventilation, monitoring feed bins, removing dead birds and keeping records. Other routine chores include cleaning and repairing equipment, cleaning out houses, rodent control, ordering feed, preparing for chick arrival, and preparing for shipment to the processing plant.

Waste Management Regulations

Waste management is an important component of poultry production. Unlike many of the equipment and inventory management issues, waste management is the sole responsibility of the grower. Current state regulations require growers to manage all waste materials, including litter and dead birds, to assure beneficial use of the waste, and also to prevent adverse effects to the environment. Waste management costs are a part of the production expenses that may not be recognized in standard production budgets.

In some cases, poultry waste may be a valuable by-product, but in other cases it is a net cost. In the spring of 1998, the Oklahoma legislature passed the Oklahoma Registered Poultry Feeding Operations Act, pertaining to poultry farmers producing more than 10 tons of poultry waste per year and confining birds for 45 days or more in any 12 month period. Additionally, the Oklahoma Poultry Waste Applicators Certification Act was passed affecting individuals land-applying more than 10 tons of poultry waste or litter per year.

The Oklahoma Department of Agriculture, Food and Forestry (ODAFF), Agricultural Environmental Management Services (AEMS) is responsible for developing rules and enforcing these acts.

Registration/Certification

Poultry producers are required by law to register their operation while commercial and private poultry waste applicators must apply for an applicator's certificate, both through the State Board of Agriculture.

Annual renewals are required for producer registration and commercial applicator certificates. Private waste applicators are required to renew their license every five years. Only certified private or commercial waste applicators can apply poultry waste to land. Certified applicators are required to submit an official applicator's annual report by December 31 of each year to the AEMS Division of ODAFF for poultry waste land-applied from July 1 of the previous year through June 30 of the current year. For example, applicators who apply poultry litter between July 1, 2009 and June 30, 2010 are required to submit an annual report no later than December 31, 2010.

Education

Both registered operators of poultry feeding operations and poultry waste applicators are required to attend poultry waste management training classes conducted by the Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service. An initial 9-hour training class is required within one year of purchasing or constructing a poultry operation or within one year of obtaining a commercial poultry waste applicator's certificate. Additionally, three hours of continuing education is required by December 31 of each calendar year thereafter.

Environmental Considerations

Poultry producers must develop an approved Animal Waste Management Plan (AWMP) and maintain records of poultry waste removed from the premises or land applied on-site. The amount of poultry waste or litter that may be land applied on the property of the poultry feeding operation is limited according to nutrient management guidelines established in the AWMP. These guidelines only allow litter use as a beneficial fertilizer to pasture or cropland, not as a disposal system. If poultry waste or litter cannot be used according to nutrient management guidelines, the producer must see that it is removed to a location where it can be used properly. In some cases, the producer may sell the by-product to other individuals for land application or to commercial composting, chemical production or energy production facilities. A website is available to promote the marketing of poultry litter and can be found at: www.ok-littermarket.org. This website allows for those individuals or companies interested in purchasing, selling or hauling poultry litter to advertise their contact information and services without cost.

Prior to any land application of poultry waste or litter in the state of Oklahoma, a certified applicator must obtain recent soil tests for each land application site and recent poultry waste or litter analyses. Land application rates must follow current USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Waste Utilization Standards. For more information on soil and litter testing, contact your local County Extension Office.

The AWMP required under the Registered Poultry Feeding Operations Act also imposes restrictions on the disposal of poultry carcasses to prevent environmental and health problems. Under the law, acceptable disposal methods

include approved composting, incineration, on-farm burial, landfill burial, and disposal in a rendering plant. For more information, refer to OSU Fact Sheet BAE-1748.

The ODAFF inspects poultry operations routinely to determine whether there are any violations. They may also visit when investigating pollution complaints alleging that a waste disposal problem exists. If a poultry operation is found to be disposing of wastes in such a manner that surface or ground water contamination is occurring, that operation will be required to implement waste management practices to correct the problem. Failure to comply could result in a fine to the grower. If a poultry operation seeks classification as a permitted Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO), then it is not subject to the rules of the Oklahoma Registered Poultry Feeding Operations Act; however, it is subject to the rules of the Oklahoma Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations Act. This act requires producers to obtain an Oklahoma CAFO license and develop and implement a Pollution Prevention Plan.

If you have questions determining whether your operation is classified as a CAFO or questions pertaining to the Oklahoma Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations Act, the Oklahoma Registered Poultry Feeding Operations Act or the Oklahoma Poultry Waste Applicators Certification Act, please contact the AEMS Division of ODAFF at (405) 522 4659. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) also provides useful information concerning CAFOs on their website <http://www.epa.gov/>.

Profitability and Cash Flow

A simplified broiler budget is presented in Table 1. The budget represents production from a 26,400 bird capacity house. These numbers represent only an example as house size, type and equipment, type of birds, and levels of management vary considerably and will affect one or all of the numbers. Growers must carefully study information provided by the integrators and modify the budget based on their own operation to determine potential returns.

The budget presented is to be considered a tool for potential growers to use in analyzing expected receipts and costs. The budget, given stated assumptions, suggests that during the loan repayment period, cash flow may be a problem. The returns to land, labor, overhead, risk and management are modest. No charge for land was made in the budgets. Costs in a given area and for a given producer will vary from the estimated values listed in the budgets.

The cash flow associated with the broiler enterprise is likely to differ from the economic returns because some of the associated costs, such as depreciation, do not require an annual outlay of cash. In Table 1, a column labeled "Your Values" is included to enable you to calculate either net returns or cash flows using the appropriate amounts based on your research.

Income

Broiler producers are paid based on the pounds of usable broilers. Estimates of receipts are frequently based on performance relative to the average Net Pound Value of other growers. The price per pound the grower receives will be lower or higher than the average based upon their Net Pound Value relative to the other growers. Most grower contracts have a

stated floor price that the grower is guaranteed regardless of production efficiency. The contract price is only paid on usable broilers; thus, a high death loss or high percentage of condemnation can substantially affect a grower's income. The middle grower contract price used here is \$0.0585 per pound.

Depending on the size of bird raised, five to six flocks of broilers can be grown per year on average. If 5 flocks per year are produced, broilers weigh 6.5 pounds, the contract price per pound is \$0.0585 per pound, and 94.5 percent of the broilers are usable, gross receipts from broiler sales will average \$47,432 from a 26,400 bird building.

If litter has no value within the operation and must be transported some distance for land application as a fertilizer, it can be a net cost rather than a source of income.

Costs

Costs in the budget are grouped into operating costs and fixed costs. Operating (or variable) costs change with the level of output (number of birds produced) and do not occur unless the producer attempts to grow broilers. Variable costs include the money outlays for purchased inputs that are used in a production period, e.g. bedding, electricity, gas, fuel, labor. Fixed costs, on the other hand, do not change with the level of production. In fact, fixed costs remain the same whether or not any birds are produced. Fixed costs include depreciation on buildings and equipment, taxes, insurance, and principal and interest payments on building and equipment loans.

Labor is valued at \$10 per hour in the budget. If the operator hires all labor for tending the birds and maintaining the building and equipment, expected cash outlays will be approximately \$9,240. Utilities are the largest expected cash outlay if the operator provides labor for the operation. Growers outside established areas may have higher L.P. gas costs. Some integrators purchase gas in bulk for their growers in order to benefit from discounts from bulk purchases.

The broiler house is assumed to have a life of 20 years, and equipment (feeders, waterers, brooders, etc.) is assumed to last seven years. A grower can expect to replace one-quarter to one-third of the equipment after seven years. If housing repairs, modifications, or improvements are needed or required, additional expenses will be incurred over time. If current roadways on the farm are not well graveled, additional expenses may be incurred to upgrade and maintain these improvements.

Insurance against many potential losses can be purchased. Ice damage insurance, however, is expensive and not included in all policies.

Profitability Factors

The factors most likely to affect a broiler producer's profitability are:

1. The grower's management skills, which impact the broiler growth rate and death losses. From a management standpoint, an operator can increase profits by watching for feed waste and making the necessary adjustments to reduce it, observing for overflow of waterers, keeping the litter dry and clean, staying alert to fan breakdowns, and paying attention to signs of stress and disease.
2. If offered, the bonus that the grower receives if the production efficiency is better than middle cost of produc-

Table 1. Sample Broiler Budget [100% Financed—26,400 Bird Broiler Building (43 ft x 510 ft)].

	Estimated Average Enterprise Profitability	Cash Flow Year 1	Your Values	Estimated Average Profitability per 1,000 bird capacity	Cash Flow per 1,000 bird capacity (Year 1 of loan)	Estimated Average Enterprise Profitability per square foot	Cash Flow per square foot (Year 1 of loan)
Receipts							
Production							
(\$0.0585/lb x 6.5 lbs/bird x 26,400 birds/flock x 5 flocks/year) ¹ x 94.5 percent live, usable birds	\$47,432	\$47,432	_____	\$1,797	\$1,797	\$2.16	\$2.16
Litter/manure (100 tons x \$17.5/ton) ²	\$1,750	\$1,750	_____	\$66	\$66	\$0.08	\$0.08
Gas allowance (\$0.325/bird x 26,400 birds x 1 flock)	\$858	\$858	_____	\$33	\$33	\$0.04	\$0.04
Total Receipts	\$50,040	\$50,040	_____	\$1,895	\$1,895	\$2.28	\$2.28
Costs							
Operating Costs							
Fuel	\$9,000	\$9,000	_____	\$341	\$341	\$0.41	\$0.41
Labor (\$10.00/hr x 3 hr/day x 308 days/yr.) ³	\$9,240	\$9,240	_____	\$350	\$350	\$0.42	\$0.42
Litter or shavings	\$1,500	\$1,500	_____	\$57	\$57	\$0.07	\$0.07
Litter clean-out (\$10/ton x 100 tons)	\$1,000	\$1,000	_____	\$38	\$38	\$0.05	\$0.05
Repairs	\$1,500	\$1,500	_____	\$57	\$57	\$0.07	\$0.07
Supplies	\$500	\$500	_____	\$19	\$19	\$0.02	\$0.02
Utilities/w rural water ⁴	\$1,750	\$1,750	_____	\$66	\$66	\$0.08	\$0.08
Interest on operating expenses (6.5%) ⁵	\$918	\$918	_____	\$35	\$35	\$0.04	\$0.04
Total Operating Cost	\$25,408	\$25,408	_____	\$962	\$962	\$1.16	\$1.16
Fixed Costs							
Depreciation							
Equip. (\$80,000, 7 yr straight line, 25% salvage value) ⁶	\$8,571	_____	_____	\$325	_____	\$0.39	_____
Bldg. (\$175,000, 20 yr straight line, 50% salvage value) ⁶	\$4,375	_____	_____	\$166	_____	\$0.20	_____
Interest on average investment (equipment) (5%) ⁷	\$2,500	_____	_____	\$95	_____	\$0.11	_____
Interest on average investment (building) (5%) ⁷	\$6,563	_____	_____	\$249	_____	\$0.30	_____
Insurance	\$1,000	\$1,000	_____	\$38	\$38	\$0.05	\$0.05
Interest on building loan (15 yrs @ 7.5%) ⁸	_____	\$13,125	_____	_____	\$497	_____	\$0.60
Interest on equipment loan (7 yrs @ 7.5%) ⁸	_____	\$6,000	_____	_____	\$277	_____	\$0.27
Principal on building loan (15 yrs @ 7.5%) ⁹	_____	\$6,700	_____	_____	\$254	_____	\$0.31
Principal on equipment loan (7 yrs @ 7.5%) ⁹	_____	\$9,104	_____	_____	\$345	_____	\$0.42
Taxes (property)	\$600	\$600	_____	\$23	\$23	\$0.03	\$0.03
Total Fixed Costs	\$23,609	\$36,529	_____	\$894	\$1,384	\$1.08	\$1.67
Total Costs (Operating + Fixed)	\$49,017	\$61,938	_____	\$1,857	\$2,346	\$2.24	\$2.82
Returns							
Cash Flow Year 1 (including labor)	_____	-\$11,897	_____	_____	-\$451	_____	-\$0.54
Cash Flow Year 1 (excluding labor)	_____	-\$2,657	_____	_____	-\$101	_____	-\$0.12
Return to land, overhead, risk and management	\$1,023	_____	_____	\$39	_____	\$0.05	_____
Return to land, labor, overhead, risk and management	\$10,263	_____	_____	\$389	_____	\$0.47	_____

¹ Assumes middle pay and average weight and 56 day grow-out.

² Assumes litter is sold or results in savings in fertilizer costs in other enterprises. Fertilizer value may be higher if use of litter is managed well.

³ Labor is not a cash expense if supplied by the owner/operator. However, to be sustainable, an enterprise should provide a return to the operator's labor and management.

⁴ Utilities include electricity, gas and water.

⁵ Interest on operating expenses = [(total operating cost before interest) ÷ 2] x interest rate.

⁶ Economic depreciation, not tax depreciation. Salvage values vary substantially from operation to operation. A lower salvage value would increase the annual depreciation costs. For instance, if the salvage value of the equipment and buildings is zero at the end of the useful life, depreciation costs would double.

⁷ Average investment is calculated as the value of the beginning investment plus the value of the ending investment, with the sum divided by two.

⁸ The opportunity cost on average investment is used in profitability calculations where average investment = (the value of the beginning investment + the value of the ending investment) divided by 2. Here, the ending value is the salvage value.

⁹ Loan expenses are used in cash flow calculations (but not enterprise profitability calculations, which use interest on average investment). For equal payment loan amortizations, the principal amount increases each year and the interest decreases.

tion. Note that the bonus may not reflect a producer's management ability versus that of an average grower, but of the other growers who sell birds the same week as he or she sells. If a grower is unfortunate enough to market birds at the same time as several above average producers, he or she may receive no bonus and may in fact be penalized for below middle cost efficiency.

3. The shrink in the birds from the farm to the processor.
4. Rapid changes in cash expenses. Over the last five years, large increases in propane and electricity have increased total operating costs by 10 percent to 20 percent. Without an appropriate fuel allowance from the integrator, this can significantly impact producer returns and cash flow.

Other Considerations

Other factors that a potential broiler grower must consider are rural zoning, air pollution laws, and "nuisance" laws if neighbors are close to planned building sites. Two sources of water are preferred where possible to ensure a supply of water for broiler houses.

Summary and Conclusions

Individuals who are seriously considering the broiler business should learn as much as they can about broiler

production by talking with growers and integrators in their area. The potential broiler grower should determine whether an integrator services the area and if the integrator is taking on new growers. No one should buy land or move into an area expecting to grow broilers without a contract from an integrator. Contracts should be studied to determine their acceptability. A lender should be contacted to determine the availability and terms of financing for a broiler enterprise.

Individuals considering broiler production should discuss and evaluate with their families how the broiler enterprise fits into short and long-term family and business goals. The family should discuss their willingness to commit time and energy to a seven-day-per-week operation with breaks limited to periods between flocks of birds. Because the broiler house is a specialized facility, the commitment to production must be long-term in order to ensure that investment costs are recouped.

Additional Reading:

Hamilton, Neil D. "A Current Broiler Contract Analysis Addressing Legal Issues and Grower Concerns." Chapter 3 in *Assessing the Impact of Integrator Practices on Contract Poultry Growers*. September 2001. Farmers' Legal Action Group, Inc., St. Paul Minnesota 55101.

Other resources regarding broiler production

OSU Publications. Using Poultry Litter as Fertilizer PSS-2246

<http://pods.dasnr.okstate.edu/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-2640/PSS-2246web.pdf>

OSU Publications. Poultry for the Small Producer ANSI-8202

<http://pods.dasnr.okstate.edu/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-2116/ANSI-8202web.pdf>

OSU Publications. Predators: Thieves in the Night ANSI-8204

<http://pods.dasnr.okstate.edu/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-2111/ANSI-8204web.pdf>

OSU Publications. Hot Weather Management in the Poultry House ANSI-8205

<http://pods.dasnr.okstate.edu/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-2102/F-8205web.pdf>

OSU Publications. Fly Control in the Poultry House ANSI-8206

<http://pods.dasnr.okstate.edu/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-2115/ANSI-8206web.pdf>

OSU Publications. Rodent Control in the Poultry House ANSI-8207

<http://pods.dasnr.okstate.edu/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-2107/ANSI-8207web.pdf>

OSU Publications. Factors Involved in Site Selection for New and Modified Poultry Facilities ANSI-8213

<http://pods.dasnr.okstate.edu/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-2100/ANSI-8213web.pdf>

Contract Poultry Growers' Rights under the Packers and Stockyards Act, Farmer's Legal Action Group, Incorporated

www.flaginc.org

Oklahoma Department of Agriculture. "Oklahoma Registered Poultry Feeding Operations Act."

<http://www.oda.state.ok.us/forms/water/orpfoa.pdf>

Oklahoma Farm and Ranch *A* Syst. Assessing the Ground Water Contamination from Poultry Waste Management, Worksheet 8.

<http://pods.dasnr.okstate.edu/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-2175/fasws8.pdf>

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. "Waste Utilization Standards."

<http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/references/public/IL/633.pdf>

Oklahoma State University, in compliance with Title VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Executive Order 11246 as amended, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and other federal laws and regulations, does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, age, religion, disability, or status as a veteran in any of its policies, practices, or procedures. This includes but is not limited to admissions, employment, financial aid, and educational services.

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Robert E. Whitson, Director of Cooperative Extension Service, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma. This publication is printed and issued by Oklahoma State University as authorized by the Vice President, Dean, and Director of the Division of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources and has been prepared and distributed at a cost of 42 cents per copy. 0910 GH Revised.